

New England Fishery Management Council 50 WATER STREET | NEWBURYPORT, MASSACHUSETTS 01950 | PHONE 978 465 0492 | FAX 978 465 3116 John Pappalardo, *Chairman* | Paul J. Howard, *Executive Director*

MEMORANDUM

DATE: April 9, 2010 TO: Groundfish Oversight Committee FROM: Groundfish Plan Development Team (PDT) SUBJECT: PDT Conference Call, January 13, 2010

1. Groundfish PDT members held a conference call to discuss alternative GB yellowtail flounder rebuilding strategies on January 13, 2010. Participating in the call were Tom Nies and Anne Hawkins (NEFMC), Tom Warren and Doug Christel (NMFS NERO), Eric Thunberg and Paul Nitschke (NMFS NEFSC), Steve Correia (Mass. DMF), and Kohl Kanwit (Maine DMR).

This memorandum updates a PDT memorandum dated January 15, 2010. It reflects a revised understanding of the 2009 TRAC assessment of GB yellowtail flounder. TRAC participants advised the PDT Chair in April that the earlier report did not accurately reflect TRAC decisions. The revision is explained in paragraph 5.

2. At the November 2009 Council meeting, the Council voted to reconsider the GB yellowtail flounder rebuilding strategy. The rationale for the change seems to be to allow for increased catches in order to facilitate coordinated management with Canada and to increase yields from a stock in improving condition. The suggested process to accomplish this is:

January 2010: Consider narrowing alternative strategies for future consideration July 2010: TRAC assessment of GB yellowtail flounder August 2010: Update analysis of alternative strategies using new assessment information September 2010: Council selection of revised strategy November 2010: Final Council approval of strategy, framework document, ABCs December 2010: Document submission May 2011: Implementation

3. This stock was declared overfished in 2005 after the 2005 TRAC (see attached letter). The M-S Act requires that rebuilding periods "be as short as possible" after taking into account several factors. Measures were implemented by FW 42 to rebuild in 2006. Based on the NSGs in existence at the time, the maximum rebuilding period ends in 2016. FW 42 adopted the strategy to rebuild GB YTF by 2014 (an eight year rebuilding period) with "about" a 75 percent probability of success.

4. The rebuilding period can be revised by either changing the probability of success, changing the ending date, or a combination of both. The probability of success cannot be reduced below the median (50 percent), and the rebuilding period cannot be extended beyond 2016. Since 2006,

the Council has selected a 75 percent probability of success for new groundfish rebuilding strategies. Using this as a precedent to narrow the possible strategies, Table 1 summarizes the options:

Fab	Cable 1 – Potential GB yellowtail flounder rebuilding strategies									
	20)14	20	15	2016					
	50%	75%	50%	75%	50%	75%				
-		Current								

5. The PDT's understanding that the 2009 TRAC forwarded two assessment models, with neither preferred, has been corrected. The 2009 TRAC reported the results of two assessment runs. One includes the Canadian survey in recent years where high values were observed - referred to as the "including" run - and the other excluded the survey in those years - "excluding". The results of these two runs are believed to bracket the results expected if the assessment model could downweight the high survey values (the preferred analytic approach). The including run estimates larger stock size than the excluding run. The TRAC did not advise how to evaluate where the actual stock size was between the two extremes, nor how to provide catch advice from the two runs. Successful rebuilding could be risked if it is assumed the including results are correct and the excluding results prove more accurate. Considerable yield is sacrificed if the excluding run is assumed correct and the including results prove more accurate. The problem can be summarized as choosing a rebuilding strategy (ending year and probability of success) that will achieve stock rebuilding while producing acceptable yields under either assessment run.

6. Using the two current model runs, projections were run to determine the rebuilding mortality and catch streams for the identified scenarios. Consistent with the TRAC advice, these results should be viewed as bracketing the actual catch for a desired rebuilding strategy. Catch in 2010 was assumed to be 2,000 mt for all scenarios based on the U.S. ABC (1,200 mt) and the assumed Canadian TAC (756 mt, based on TMGC discussions). Different catch assumptions would change the results slightly; the 2010 catch assumption can be updated later if necessary. Fishing mortality at the end of the rebuilding period is assumed to be 75 percent of F_{MSY} . All strategies were based on fishing mortality described to only two significant digits, so in some cases the probability of success is slightly higher than the defined strategy. Results are shown in Table 2. Note that under the including run, fishing mortality is capped at 75 percent of F_{MSY} , consistent with the ABC control rule. As a result, there is no difference between a median rebuilding probability and a 2015 or 2016 ending date when the including model is used. Under the excluding run it no longer appears the stock can rebuild by 2014 with a 75 percent probability (subject to the 2010 catch assumptions used in this projection).

Excluding Run							
	20 ⁻	14	20	15	20	16	
	50% 75%		50%	75%	50%	75%	
2010	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	
2011	2404	0	3748	1228	4394	2404	
2012	2670	0	3985	1415	4574	2670	
2013	3095	0	4490	1683	5079	3095	
2014	6758	8000	5239	2050	5871	3692	
2015	7342	8297	7007	8680	6633	4300	
2016	7637	8342	7406	8699	7118	8652	
2017	7837	8348	7682	8624	7476	8640	
Total Catch	39,743	34,987	41,557	34,379	43,145	35,453	
Freb	0.1	0	0.16	0.05	0.19	0.1	
Prob(year)	0.5	0.686	0.526	0.751	0.547	0.749	
SSB Median Year		2013		2014		2014	
Catch Rank	3	5	2	6	1	4	

Table 2 - Catch streams under various GB yellowtail flounder rebuilding strategie
(1) F is capped at 75% of F_{MSY} consistent with ABC control rule

Including Run						
	201	14	20 ²	15	201	6
	50% 75%		50%	75%	50%	75%
2010	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000	2,000
2011	6012	2454	6318	3789	6318	4439
2012	6005	2669	6261	3994	6261	4605
2013	6152	2915	6381	4260	6381	4855
2014	6855	8359	6740	4649	6740	5252
2015	7303	8443	7212	8507	7212	5725
2016	7635	8464	7568	8561	7568	8700
2017	7827	8407	7782	8487	7782	8616
Total Catch	49,789	43,711	50,262	44,247	50,262	44,192
Freb	0.18	0.07	0.19 ⁽¹⁾	0.11	0.19 ⁽¹⁾	0.13
Prob(year)	0.511	0.752	0.569	0.75	0.62	0.769
SSB Median Year		2012		2013	2013	2013
Catch Rank	3	6	1	4	1	5

7. An examination of the results helps narrow the possible options, at least with the assessment information currently in hand. First, several of the analyzed strategies result in similar catch streams. These are summarized in Table 3; scenarios that have similar catch stream are marked with the same arbitrarily assigned letter. Second, if the excluding run is correct, a strategy of rebuilding by 2015 with a 75 percent probability does not produce higher yields in 2011 and 2012 than the 2010 ABC. As a result, absent additional information about stock status or consideration of other factors, 2015/75% does not seem a reasonable alternative to address the problem as understood by the PDT. Third, the 2016/50% option leaves few alternatives for adjustment should rebuilding progress fall behind schedule. When these only factors are considered, the reasonable alternatives would seem to be those marked with a "P" in Table 4.

	2014		2015		2016	
	50%	75%	50%	75%	50%	75%
Excluding	А		С		В	А
Including		А	D	С	D	В

Tab	le 4 – Reaso	nable alterna	tive GB y	ellowtail f	lounder r	ebuilding s	strategies
	20	2014		2015		16	
	50%	75%	50%	75%	50%	75%	
	Р	Current	Р			Р	

8. Of the three possible alternatives shown in Table 4, 2015/50% returns the highest catch stream under either assessment model.

9. The scenarios can also be evaluated for the highest ex-vessel revenue return. This simplified analysis only considered revenues from landing yellowtail flounder and did not consider possible impacts on the scallop fishery. The net present value (NPV) of the yellowtail flounder revenue streams are summarized Table 5; results are only shown for two discount rates to illustrate how that assumption affects the estimates. Table 6 ranks the different alternatives by NPV. Generally, median rebuilding strategies produce a higher NPV because of the increased landings early in the time period. These results are robust for all discount rates typically used for management plan analyses.

		20	2014		15	2016	
Assessment Run	Discount Rate	50%	75%	50%	75%	50%	75%
Excluding	1.01	\$56.5	\$49.4	\$59.2	\$48.6	\$61.6	\$50.3
	1.1	\$38.8	\$31.9	\$41.7	\$32.0	\$43.9	\$34.4
Including	1.01	\$70.4	\$61.3	\$71.2	\$62.2	\$71.2	\$62.2
-	1.1	\$50.6	\$41.7	\$51.3	\$43.1	\$51.3	\$43.6

.

Table 6 - Ranking of net present value of GB yellowtail flounder rebuilding strategies

	2014		2015		2016	
	50%	75%	50%	75%	50%	75%
Excluding	3	5	2	6	1	4
Including	3	6	1	5	1	4

10. The PDT considered narrowing the possible alternatives but decided not to do so at this time because there did not seem to be an objective basis for doing so. The stock will be assessed in July 2010 which will provide additional information on rebuilding progress and possibilities. That information may help narrow the reasonable alternatives for consideration.